

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/613,740	07/03/2003	Matt Kriesel	C228 1020.2	5637		
26158	7590 04/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC			SHAW, ELIZA	SHAW, ELIZABETH ANNE		
P.O. BOX 703			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AILANIA,	GA 30357-0037		3644			
			DATE MAII ED: 04/26/200	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Appl	ication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		13,740	KRIESEL ET AL.	Af			
		niner	Art Unit				
	Elizal	beth A. Shaw	3644				
The MAILING DATE of this comm Period for Reply	nunication appears o	n the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the provis after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximu Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three mor earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(	UNICATION. sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In communication. rty (30) days, a reply within th im statutory period will apply i reply will, by statute, cause th iths after the mailing date of t	no event, however, may a rone statutory minimum of thirt and will expire SIX (6) MON ne application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this con ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on 03 July 200	<b>93</b> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action						
3) Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the pr		•	• •	merits is			
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the second se	is/are withdrawn fror						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any o	·	-	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu  11) The oath or declaration is objected.	•		• •	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of a classification of the prior of the prior of the prior of the certified copies of the prior of the certified copies of th	of: rity documents have rity documents have ies of the priority doc ational Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in Acuments have been Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie</li> </ol>	(BTO 0.40)		summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>July 3, 2003</u>.</li> </ol>			nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/613,740

Art Unit: 3644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8-17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to statutory subject matter. These claims being a substantial duplicate of claims 1-13 and 21-30 of previous patent 6,588,511.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,740

Art Unit: 3644

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3, 5, 7 and 18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-13 and 21-30 of U. S. Patent No. 6,588,511 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: a resilient pad placed between the foot and shoe of a hoofed animal, the first region substantially defined by the area of the shoe, the second region having a shock absorbent envelope containing a polymeric gel and being substantially defined by the area enclosed by the shoe. The top layer being in contact with the foot though the original claims the bottom layer to be of fabric and the top layer to be rigid as opposed to the claimed opposite of the instant application.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Shaw whose telephone number is 703-308-1853. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Jordan can be reached on 703-306-4159. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/613,740

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CHARLES TO JUDDAN
SUPERVISORY PADENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY SENTER 3600

Elizabeth A. Shaw

Page 4

Examiner Art Unit 3644

April 20, 2004